



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,792	01/03/2001	Kurosh Samari-Kermani	20000426,ORI	1961
23595 NIKOLAL& N	7590 03/06/2007 4FRSFRFALLP A		EXAM	INER
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			POON, KING Y	
SUITE 820 MINNEAPOL	IS. MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2625	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
· 31 I	DAYS	03/06/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,792	SAMARI-KERMANI, KUROSH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	King Y. Poon	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice upon the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice upon the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance wi</li></ol>	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	• •
Disposition of Claims	,	
4)  Claim(s) 15-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 15-20 are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fa a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's response to the restriction requirement mailed 11/13/2006 has been considered.

It appears that the applicant is confused about restriction requirement mailed
 11/13/2006. Applicant's election is based on thinking that the restriction is for invention:
 I) receiving medical data and comply with DICOM and; II) receiving medical data but not comply with medical data.

Actually, the restriction is between the inventions that I) <u>medical data being</u>

<u>processed</u>; received medical data, extracting patient and study information for the medical data, and processing the received medical data to comply with DICOM; and II) <u>medical data not being processed</u>; a data recording method that does not requires received medical data, extracting patient and study information for the medical data, and processing the received medical data to comply with DICOM.

Invention I belong in the area of medical field such as class 705 subclass 3, and requires search queries such as medical and DICOM.

Invention II belong in the area of 358/403 and does not require queries medical.

Although the examiner have already searched for invention I previously, each time the examiner act on the case would requires further updated search to look for references that was not available in the searched database provided by the office during the previous search. Accordingly, the examiner must conduct a search regardless of which species is being elected. Therefore, restricting the applicant to the invention that was previously presented is not fair to the applicant if the examiner is required to

Application/Control Number: 09/753,792

Art Unit: 2625

conduct a new search regardless of which species is elected. If the examiner is not required to conduct for a new search for previously presented invention, this application would be restricted to the invention previously presented.

Since the applicant added new invention into the claims, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits.

Because the applicant elected species II for prosecution due to confusion, the applicant is asked to re-elect a species to be examined after the clarification by the examiner.

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 8, lines 1-16; specifically, the species directed to: medical data being processed; received medical data, extracting patient and study information for the medical data, and processing the received medical data to comply with DICOM (claims 15-18).
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 10, lines 19-22; specifically, the species (modification and variation) directed to: medical data not being processed; a data recording method that does not requires received medical data, extracting patient and study information for the medical data, and processing the received medical data to comply with DICOM (claims 19, 20).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,792

Art Unit: 2625

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 27, 2007

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER